

The New Psychology and Treatment of Psychosis



Richard P. Bentall

Our understanding of the psychotic disorders is undergoing a remarkable transformation. Twenty years ago, it was widely accepted that diagnoses such as “schizophrenia” and “bipolar disorder” identified a series of discrete conditions which were mainly caused by genetically-determined neurocognitive dysfunction, and which were resistant to psychological intervention. Of course, this view is still held by many psychiatrists, but a critical mass of conceptual arguments and empirical findings now challenge the conventional account of psychosis, and promise to bring about a paradigm shift.

For example, it is now evident that the neo-Kraepelinian diagnostic system, enshrined in successive editions of the American Psychiatric Association’s Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) has almost no scientific or clinical value. Research demonstrating that hallucinations and delusions are much more widely experienced in the general population than was hitherto thought (van Os, Hanssen, Bijl, & Ravelli, 2000), and that these symptoms exist on continua with more mundane types of experience (Claridge, 1990), has shown that it is misleading to draw a clear line between “schizophrenia” and normal functioning. The parallel discovery that soft bipolar symptoms are widely experienced (Angst, 2005) and that hypomanic personality traits are approximately normally distributed (Meyer & Keller, 2003) has similarly dissolved the boundary between bipolar disorder and ordinary mood states. At the same time, the existence of patients with mixed bipolar and schizophrenia symptoms (Kendell, 1991) and others whose symptoms straddle the distinction between bipolar and unipolar depression (Akiskal, Benazzi, Perugi, & Rihmer, 2005) implies that psychotic conditions cannot be subdivided into neat categories. More crucially from the point of view of the patient, diagnoses appear to be poor predictors of response to antipsychotic medication and mood-stabilizing drugs (Johnstone, Crow, Frith, & Owens, 1988).

Recent genetic research has added to the woes of the neo-Kraepelinian system. Molecular studies have failed to find genes of major effect for any diagnosis, and those genes of minor effect that have been identified seem to confer risk for a variety of conditions (Craddock & Owen, 2005). Moreover, it is clear that numerous methodological errors have led researchers to exaggerate the role of genetic causation and neglect the extent to which environmental factors are also important (Marshall, 1990). Foremost amongst these is the flawed assumption that apparently high heritability estimates (often calculated on the basis of flawed data; (Joseph, 2003)) preclude strong environmental determinants (Dickins & Flynn, 2001). Reflecting this assumption in their recent attempt to defend the schizophrenia concept, American psychiatrists Robert Lieberman and Michael First argued that “Schizophrenia is not caused by disturbed psychological development or bad parenting” (Lieberman & First, 2007). However, there is compelling evidence that being unwanted at birth (Myhrman, Rantakallio, Isohanni, & Jones, 1996), early separation from parents (Morgan et al., 2006), sexual abuse (Bebbington et al., 2004; Morrison, Read, & Turkington, 2005) and other kinds of adverse family relationships (Wahlberg et al., 1997) all increase the risk of psychosis in adult life. Interestingly, there appear to be specific associations between chronic victimization and paranoia (Janssen et al., 2003) and between sexual trauma and hallucinations (Hammersley et al., 2003; Read, Agar, Argyle, & Aderhold, 2003).



The role of neurocognitive dysfunction in psychosis is far from clear. Although high risk of psychosis is associated with low IQ (Davidson et al., 1999), in comparisons between schizophrenia and bipolar patients similarities in neuropsychological functioning are more evident than differences (Hoff et al., 1990; Nuechterlein, Dawson, Ventura, Miklowitz, & Konishi, 1991). Moreover, neurocognitive impairment seems to play little or no role in positive symptoms (Green & Nuechterlein, 1999; Keefe et al., 2006). When individual symptoms of psychosis have been studied, specific biases in reasoning and information processing have been identified. For example, paranoid delusions are associated with a tendency to jump to conclusions when reasoning about sequentially presented information, a bias towards explaining negative events in terms of external factors, and an impoverished ability to understand the intentions of others (Bentall, Corcoran, Howard, Blackwood, & Kinderman, 2001). Verbal hallucinations, on the other hand, seem to be the consequence of misattributing inner speech (verbal thought) to external sources, which in turn appears to reflect an impairment in monitoring the source of experiences (Bentall, 2000). Elsewhere, I have argued that it may be possible to construct a complete and satisfactory account of severe mental illness by studying the psychological processes involved in individual symptoms; once all the symptoms have been explained in this way there will be no schizophrenia or bipolar disorder left over to explain afterwards (Bentall, 2003).

It is against this background that the new psychological interventions for psychosis – especially cognitive behaviour therapy – have been developed. Indeed, many clinicians working on the mechanisms involved in hallucinations, delusions and other psychotic symptoms have been involved in the development of this type of treatment. The contributors to this special issue on psychosis clearly describe the substantial achievements in treatment that have been made so far. Although recent meta-analyses confirm that CBT for psychosis is effective, this is no time for complacency, as the impact on symptoms is greater than the impact on relapse, and the effect sizes achieved in the largest and most methodologically sound trials are modest (Pilling et al., 2002; Tarrier & Wykes, 2004). Future research that further advances our understanding of the psychological mechanisms that give rise to symptoms is likely to inspire further innovations in treatment, thereby improving the quality of life of patients who have been ill-served by conventional psychiatry.

RICHARD P. BENTALL

Ricard P. Bentall is a professor of clinical psychology at the University of Manchester. Much of his empirical research has focused on psychological mechanisms responsible for specific psychotic symptoms. In studies of auditory hallucinations he has explored cognitive failures which lead the hallucinating individual to misattribute their inner speech to an external source. In studies of persecutory delusions, he has investigated social reasoning (especially attributional and theory of mind) biases which lead the deluded person to attribute malevolent intentions to others. Recently, this work has been extended to examine mechanisms responsible for manic symptomatology. He has also carried out research into the treatment of chronic fatigue syndrome.

Key publications

Bentall, R. (Ed.). (1990). *Reconstructing schizophrenia*. London: Routledge.

Bentall, R. P. (2003). *Madness explained. Psychosis and human nature*. London: Penguin Books.

Renton, J., Morrison, A. P., Bentall, R. P., & French, P. (Eds.). (2006). *A cognitive therapy resource for psychosis*. London: Routledge

Referanser

References

- Akiskal, H. S., Benazzi, F., Perugi, G., & Rihmer, Z. (2005). Agitated "unipolar" depression re-conceptualized as a depressive mixed state: Implications for the antidepressant suicide controversy. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 85, 245–258.



- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual for mental disorders, 4th edition – Text revision*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Angst, J. (2005). The mood spectrum: Improving the diagnosis of bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disorders*, 7, 4–12.
- Bebbington, P., Bhugra, D., Bhugra, T., Singleton, N., Farrell, M., Jenkins, R., et al. (2004). Psychosis, victimisation and childhood disadvantage: Evidence from the second British National Survey of Psychiatric Morbidity. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 185, 220–226.
- Bentall, R. P. (2000). Hallucinatory experiences. In E. Cardena, S. J. Lynn & S. Krippner (Eds.), *Varieties of anomalous experience: Examining the scientific evidence* (pp. 85–120). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Bentall, R. P. (2003). *Madness explained: Psychosis and human nature*. London: Penguin.
- Bentall, R. P., Corcoran, R., Howard, R., Blackwood, N., & Kinderman, P. (2001). Persecutory delusions: A review and theoretical integration. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 21, 1143–1192.
- Claridge, G. S. (1990). Can a disease model of schizophrenia survive? In R. P. Bentall (Ed.), *Reconstructing schizophrenia* (pp. 157–183). London: Routledge.
- Craddock, N., & Owen, M. J. (2005). The beginning of the end of the Kraepelinian dichotomy. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 186, 364–366.
- Davidson, M., Reichenberg, A., Rabinowitz, J., Weiser, M., Kaplan, Z., & Mark, M. (1999). Behavioral and intellectual markers for schizophrenia in apparently healthy male adolescents. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 156, 1328–1335.
- Dickins, W. T., & Flynn, J. R. (2001). Heritability estimates versus large environmental effects: The IQ paradox resolved. *Psychological Review*, 108, 346–369.
- Green, M. F., & Nuechterlein, K. H. (1999). Should schizophrenia be treated as a neurocognitive disorder? *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 25, 309–319.
- Hammersley, P., Dias, A., Todd, G., Bowen-Jones, K., Reilly, B., & Bentall, R. P. (2003). Childhood trauma and hallucinations in bipolar affective disorder: A preliminary investigation. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 182, 543–547.
- Hoff, A. L., Shukla, S., Aronson, T., Cook, B., Ollo, C., Baruch, S., et al. (1990). Failure to differentiate bipolar disorder from schizophrenia on measures of neuropsychological function. *Schizophrenia Research*, 3, 253–260.
- Janssen, I., Hanssen, M., Bak, M., Bijl, R. V., De Graaf, R., Vollenberg, W., et al. (2003). Discrimination and delusional ideation. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 182, 71–76.
- Johnstone, E. C., Crow, T. J., Frith, C. D., & Owens, D. G. C. (1988). The Northwick Park functional psychosis study: Diagnosis and treatment response. *Lancet*, ii, 119–125.
- Joseph, J. (2003). *The gene illusion: Genetic research in psychology and psychiatry under the microscope*. Ross-on-Wye: PCCS Books.
- Keefe, R. S. E., Bilder, R. M., Harvey, P. D., Davis, S. M., Palmer, B. W., Gold, J. M., et al. (2006). Baseline neurocognitive deficits in the CATIE schizophrenia trial. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 31, 2033–2046.
- Kendell, R. E. (1991). The major functional psychoses: Are they independent entities or part of a continuum? Philosophical and conceptual issues underlying the debate. In A. Kerr & H. McClelland (Eds.), *Concepts of mental disorder: A continuing debate*. London: Gaskell.
- Lieberman, J. A., & First, M. B. (2007). Renaming schizophrenia: Diagnosis and treatment are more important than semantics. *British Medical Journal*, 334, 108.

- Marshall, R. (1990). The genetics of schizophrenia: Axiom or hypothesis? In R. P. Bentall (Ed.), *Reconstructing schizophrenia* (pp. 89–117). London: Routledge.
- Meyer, T. D., & Keller, F. (2003). Is there evidence for a latent class called hypomanic temperament? *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 75, 259–267.
- Morgan, C., Kirkbride, J., Leff, J., Craig, T., Hutchinson, G., McKenzie, K., et al. (2006). Parental separation, loss and psychosis in different ethnic groups: A case-control study. *Psychological Medicine*.
- Morrison, A. P., Read, J., & Turkington, D. (2005). Trauma and psychosis: Theoretical and clinical implications. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 112, 327–329.
- Myhrman, A., Rantakallio, P., Isohanni, M., & Jones, P. (1996). Unwantedness of pregnancy and schizophrenia in the child. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 169, 637–640.
- Nuechterlein, K., Dawson, M., Ventura, J., Miklowitz, D., & Konishi, G. (1991). Information processing abnormalities in the early course of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Schizophrenia Research*, 5, 195–196.
- Pilling, S., Bebbington, P., Kuipers, E., Garety, P. A., Geddes, J. R., Orbach, G., et al. (2002). Psychological treatments in schizophrenia: I Meta-analysis of family intervention and cognitive behaviour therapy. *Psychological Medicine*, 32, 763–782.
- Read, J., Agar, K., Argyle, N., & Aderhold, V. (2003). Sexual and physical abuse during childhood and adulthood as predictors of hallucinations, delusions and thought disorder. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*, 76, 1–22.
- Tarrier, T., & Wykes, T. (2004). Is there evidence that cognitive behaviour therapy is an effective treatment for schizophrenia? A cautious or cautionary tale? *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 42, 1377–1401.
- van Os, J., Hanssen, M., Bijl, R. V., & Ravelli, A. (2000). Strauss (1969) revisited: A psychosis continuum in the normal population? *Schizophrenia Research*, 45, 11–20.
- Wahlberg, K.-E., Wynne, L. C., Oja, H., Keskitalo, P., Pykalainen, L., Lahti, I., et al. (1997). Gene-environment interaction in vulnerability to schizophrenia: Findings from the Finnish Adoptive Family Study of Schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 355–362.

